

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. BVW014 1856 Bruce V. Weeks 02/01/2002 10/061,818 EXAMINER 12/19/2003 MCDOWELL, SUZANNE E Weeks, Bruce V. 5874 Blendon Place Drive PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Columbus, OH 43230 1732

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						- 1
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	<u> </u>	1/6
•	•	10/061,818		WEEKS, BRUCE	V.	45
Office Action Summ	nary	Examiner		Art Unit		U
		Suzanne E. McDov	vell	1732	1	
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover s	heet with the co	orrespondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less to - If NO period for reply is specified above, the r - Failure to reply within the set or extended per - Any reply received by the Office later than three arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR  Status	DMMUNICATION.  p provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  of this communication.  than thirty (30) days, a reply  naximum statutory period w  od for reply will, by statute,  the months after the mailing	i6(a). In no event, howeve within the statutory minimi ill apply and will expire SIX cause the application to be	r, may a reply be time um of thirty (30) days ( (6) MONTHS from t ecome ABANDONED	will be considered time the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133)	ely. communicatio	n.
1) Responsive to communicati	on(s) filed on				-	
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	_	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in c closed in accordance with the					e merits is	S
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-85 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowe 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-85 is/are rejected 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject 1	is/are withdrawed. I. ed to.				-	
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 For Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s) 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is ob	ebruary 2002 is/are any objection to the c including the correcti	: a)⊡ accepted on frawing(s) be held in on is required if the d	abeyance. See Irawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to, See 37 C	FR 1.121(	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) Not some	one of: priority documents priority documents copies of the priori nternational Bureau ce action for a list of claim for domestic included in the first reign language provi	have been received have been received to documents have (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) of the certified copic priority under 35 to the sentence of the servicional application appriority under 35 to the priority under 35 to the servicional application applica	ed. ed in Application be been received )). es not received J.S.C. § 119(e) pecification or i  has been rece J.S.C. §§ 120 a	n No  I in this National  I. I (to a provisional In an Application Ived.  and/or 121 since	al applicat Data She a specific	eet.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO		5) 🔲 No	tice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No( tent Application (PTo		

Application/Control Number: 10/061,818

Art Unit: 1732

## DETAILED ACTION

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPO 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-85 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-36 of copending Application No. 09/873,533. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it is generally well known in the art to utilize modular or adjustable mold assemblies in blow molding. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use generally well known methods of molding to modify the method and apparatus taught in 09/873,533 to render the apparatus adjustable.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Salemi (US Patent 6,375,890); Hellbrugge (US Patent 5,750,067); Collette et al. (US Patent 5,411,699); and Platte (US Patent 4,330,248).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzanne E. McDowell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1205. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-4.

Application/Control Number: 10/061,818

Art Unit: 1732

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael P. Colaianni can be reached on (703) 305-5493. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

SEM December 13, 2003 Sizanne Ex Chill

SUZANNE E. MCDÖWEL! PRIMARY EXAMINER